

SEISMIC RISK IN ROMANIA. ASSESSMENT AND AWARENESS

Prof. Radu Vacareanu

Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, UTCB

Understanding Risk Balkans Conference September 17–19, 2018 | Belgrade, Serbia

Content

- Major earthquakes in Romania
- Seismic hazard
- Building exposure and fragility functions
- Seismic risk in Romania & Bucharest
- Seismic risk awareness in Bucharest
- Conclusions
 - Acknowledgement



October 26, 1802 - Mw=7.9





Nº 181. La tour de Coltza, bâtie à Bucharest par les Suédois de Charles XII. D'après M. Doussault.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1802_Vrancea_earthquake



November 10, 1940, Mw=7.7, h=150 km



MSK macroseismic intensities



November 10, 1940, Mw=7.7, h=150 km



ves équipes de soldats roumains et allemands fouillent méthodiquement les décombres du Carlton à Bucares TREMBLEMENT DE TERRE EN ROUMANIE



Carlton Building (l'Illustration, 1940)



March 4, 1977, Mw=7.4, h=94 km



MSK macroseismic intensities



March 4, 1977, Mw=7.4, h=94 km

- 1578 deaths (1424 in Bucharest)
- 11221 injured (7598 in Bucharest)
- 32 collapsed buildings in Bucharest
- 33000 housing units destroyed or severely damaged
- Total losses: 2.05 bn USD (in excess of 6% of GDP)

(Source: World Bank Report)



March 4, 1977, Mw=7.4, h=94 km







March 4, 1977, Mw=7.4, h=94 km







www.utcb.ro



Digitized recorded ground motion (left), acceleration response spectra (centre) and normalized acceleration response spectra (right) –

blue – recorded values; red – design values

Technical University of

Civil Engineering Buchares

Seismic hazard of Romania







Exposure data - available from the latest census of 2011 (Arion et al., 2018)

	1992 Census	2002 Census	2011 Census
Population	23.286.794	22.628.665	20.121.641
No. of buildings	4.482.119	4.837.215	5.341.908
Housing units	7.666.181	8.111.391	8.723.699
GDP (current US\$ Billions)	25,12	46,18	185,36



Building exposure



Distribution of number of reinforced concrete high-rise buildings designed according low seismic code by census unit (RO-RISK Project)

Seismic risk in Romania



Distribution of number of damaged buildings for an earthquake scenario with 1000 years MRP (RO-RISK Project)



Seismic risk in Romania



Risk matrix for Romania (RO-RISK Project) (https://www.igsu.ro/index.php?pagina=analiza_riscuri)





Technical University of

Civil Engineering Bucharest

- Main characteristics of existing residential building stock of Bucharest (Pavel and Vacareanu, 2016; Pavel et al. 2017):
 - More than 60% of the existing building stock built prior to Vrancea
 1977 earthquake
 - Around 5% of the number of residential buildings have more than nine stories in height, the majority being in Districts 2, 3 and 6 (District is equivalent to Sector)





Identified seismic risk class I residential buildings in Bucharest





Soft and weak groundfloor residential buildings in Bucharest



Seismic risk in Bucharest



Relative contributions to total direct losses for a Mw 7.5 Vrancea earthquake function of building material (left), seismic design (centre) and height (right) using HAZUS approach

(Pavel et al., 2018)



Seismic resilience of Bucharest

Earthquake scenario

- Source: Vrancea intermediate
- Magnitude $M_W = 7,5$
- Focal depth h = 90 km
- Epicentral distance d = 120 km



Note: Functionality parameter: number of housing units in Bucharest



Seismic risk & resilience in Bucharest

- Direct losses for residential buildings in Bucharest for an EQ scenario with 1000 years MRP can be as high as 8% of the GDP of Romania
- Mean economic losses are in the range 5 13 bill. €
- Time necessary for restoring 95 % of the pre-earthquake housing capacity (Burton et al. 2014) between 550 days for $M_W = 7.0$ scenario and over 2000 days for $M_W = 8.0$ scenario



- February to September 2016 Survey to investigate the risk awareness, preparedness and expectations of Bucharest population (CoBPEE Project); 1000 respondents to online and paper questionnaires
- Questions grouped into four parts quantifying: level of education and awareness regarding the occurrence of a major earthquake in Romania; importance of structural safety; level of damage/losses expected by population after a major earthquake; level of post-earthquake community involvement





Sex distribution of respondents



Education distribution of respondents



Age distribution of respondents



Distribution of individual houses and blocks of flats

with year of construction



www.utcb.ro

I. Calotescu, F. Pavel and R. Vacareanu (2018). Earthquake Risk Awareness in Bucharest, Romania: Public Survey, in R. Vacareanu and C. Ionescu (eds.), Seismic Hazard and Risk Assessment, Springer Natural Hazards



www.utcb.ro

I. Calotescu, F. Pavel and R. Vacareanu (2018). Earthquake Risk Awareness in Bucharest, Romania: Public Survey, in R. Vacareanu and C. Ionescu (eds.), Seismic Hazard and Risk Assessment, Springer Natural Hazards





I. Calotescu, F. Pavel and R. Vacareanu (2018). Earthquake Risk Awareness in Bucharest, Romania: Public Survey, in R. Vacareanu and C. Ionescu (eds.), Seismic Hazard and Risk Assessment, Springer Natural Hazards





After a major earthquake, what type of humanitarian assistance would you offer?

After a major earthquake, what period of time would you find it acceptable for water, gas, internet or telephone provision/services to be restored?



I. Calotescu, F. Pavel and R. Vacareanu (2018). Earthquake Risk Awareness in Bucharest, Romania: Public Survey, in R. Vacareanu and C. Ionescu (eds.), Seismic Hazard and Risk Assessment, Springer Natural Hazards

Conclusions

- Seismic resilience a paradigm shift absolutely needed in Romania
- Quest for seismic resilience
- Insurance premiums tool for performance based design and seismic rehabilitation, not used so far
- Involvement of reinsurance companies and industry weak, so far
- Increase public awareness daunting task



Conclusions

- Program for seismic retrofitting of residential buildings hard to implement
- Program for seismic retrofitting of public buildings more focus and visibility; World Bank support is highly valuable and fully acknowledged
- Seismic risk of Bucharest very high; social and economic impact very high; mitigation, possible
- An approach similar to National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) A research and implementation partnership is definitely



needed

Acknowledgements

- Full gratitude to Romanian and Japanese taxpayers
- Results presented obtained in the research projects: BIGSEES (Brldging the Gap between Seismology and Earthquake Engineering: From the Seismicity of Romania towards a refined implementation of Seismic Action EN 1998-1 in earthquake resistant design of buildings), COBPEE (Community Based Performance Earthquake Engineering) and RO-RISK (Disaster risk assessment at national level)



Acknowledgements

- Members of BIGSEES, COBPEE and RO-RISK teams acknowledge the support of Ministry of National Education, Emergency Situations
 Department, General Inspectorate for Emergency Situations and European Social Fund
- The author conveys his full gratitude to Alanna Simpson for the kind invitation and outstanding support and to Maryia Markhvida for the perfect organization of the Session - Seismic Risk in Multifamily Apartment Buildings: Engineering, Social, Financial, and Policy Implications





Thank you!